Leadership Style in Educational Management: A Case Study of an Integrated Laboratory Principal

Ma. Nora Derla Lai [1]
[1] Western Mindanao State University, Philippines

Abstract

The study tested how a blended leadership of transformational, instructional, democratic, and supportive styles contributed to teacher well-being and student performance in an integrated laboratory. The conceptual framework describing the linkages in leadership approaches through which teacher motivation ripples down to student outcome defines this study as a mixed-method approach collecting data from 26 teachers and one principal-the teacher perceptions aggregated on student performance data. Preliminary investigation results from the principal's leadership are creating a work environment characterized by high levels of job satisfaction, motivation, and commitment among teachers, thereby enhancing further improvement and innovation. Beyond that, the principal will also develop strong entry culture and "digital mindset" that would significantly improve the learning experience. The data on students reveal high performance and success in a long list of 21st competencies, such as critical thinking and collaboration. Although the findings correspond with what previous literature states and hints that blended leadership would work relatively well in more mainstream educational contexts, further analysis of all responding subjects was needed to support this evidence by strong means. This research thus adds value to educational management by revealing how integrated leadership styles impact teacher well-being and progression in student achievement amidst technologically rich learning environments.

Keywords: *Blended Leadership, Transformational Leadership, Teacher Well-being, Integrated Laboratory Setting, Technology Integration, Student Academic Achievement.*

1. Introduction

Successful leadership remains a cornerstone of the organizational framework of any institution, but much more so in the complex and dynamic environment of educational institutions [1]. The caliber of educational leadership is reflective of a nation's development, reinforcing an urgent need to investigate the larger environment of leadership that makes for an effective school management system [2]. In particular, the present study aims to focus on the blended leadership styles of the principals in high-performing secondary schools in the Gedeo Zone, examining the ways in which these styles relate to school performance [3]. Further, the study investigates the rather differential effects of blended leadership, acknowledging that its impact on academic performance may vary from high-performing to low-performing institutions [3]. This study attempts to clarify how different leadership styles, primarily transformational and instructional ones, impact teacher performance, motivation, job satisfaction, and consequently, student achievement [4][5]. Balancing between these two modalities in a way that enhances educational results in differing environments is further testimony from this study on the effectiveness of the principals [4]. The influence of the

style of leadership adopted by a principal on the objectives of the organization stands starkly recorded, with numerous classifications accounting for the variation in perspectives, behaviors, and relational dynamics of different types of leaders [6]. Research fairly consistently identifies principal leadership styles as influencing motivation and performance of school teachers, as well as many of the general forms of the school environment [4][7]. Within this regard, transformational, democratic, and instructional leadership styles are generally found to be positively related to the performance of teachers on the basis of the creation of a conducive environment for motivation and professional advancement [5]. These approaches are pivotal in creating a positive school climate, which in turn significantly affects a teacher's commitment and perception of organizational performance [8]. Thus, the relationship between the principal's leadership style and its influences on the school community, encompassing both teachers and students, must be better understood to improve the outcomes of academic performance [6]. Such an understanding underscores the importance of looking at specific leadership attributes such as idealized stimulation and individualized consideration, which are considered essential in the enhancement of the teacher's outcome within the educational setting [9]. The principal's role will thus be crucial in guiding, motivating, and influencing the school community in order to attain the stated vision and mission of the school [10]. Indeed, research comparing transformational and instructional leaderships has shown how particular leadership practices by school leaders can tremendously impact teacher job satisfaction, as well as student academic achievement [11].

Statement of the Problem

There exists considerable scholarship for research and study concerning the leadership roles in education. Some critical gaps that emerge here include the extent to which various blended leadership styles in an integrated laboratory environment impact teacher wellness and student outcomes [12]. The current study, therefore, seeks to fill this void by investigating the multifaceted effects of a principal's leadership on various stakeholders within such a specialized educational environment [10][13]. For example, differing leadership styles, such as democratic, instructional, transformational, or laissez-faire, greatly influence teachers' behaviors in the course of performing in the school [14]. The ideologies of a principal in leading would affect deeply the emotional, pro-social, and psychic needs of teachers within the whole work environment [14]. With the proven understanding of how leadership is vital to improving the climate in schools, this study emphasizes the need to examine how different leadership styles, especially transformational and distributed styles, correspond with increased teacher effectiveness as well as student achievement [15]. Hence, school principals are to be encouraged to practice proactive leadership styles that tend to be engaging, motivating, and empowering with the hope of raising job satisfaction levels, which are considered an important motivating factor for school performance [12].

Conceptual Framework

The very core of educational leadership is built upon the interaction of principals, teachers, and the broader school culture; hence, a framework to delineate their dynamic relationships [16]. This would usually include transformational leadership as a part of the framework, which often is found to have direct bearing on teacher job satisfaction and motivation, alongside shared leadership models that distribute responsibilities and build collectivistic efficacy [12][17]. Furthermore, integrated architecture emphasizing technology integration and dynamic adaptability can enhance school management, security, and learning functions, thereby developing competencies in learners according to contemporary societal demand [18]. Understanding the fine distinctions in the application of leadership styles, like the affiliative, authoritative, autocratic, coaching, coercive,

democratic, laissez-faire, pacesetting, transactional, and transformational types, becomes relevant in the evaluation of their impact on school climate and teacher job satisfaction under these integrated systems [19][20]. This broad view allows for a detailed understanding at how specific leadership behaviors interface with the technological and human aspects of an educational institution in relation to optimal performance and teacher wellness [21][12]. Here, it is proven that transformational and transactional leadership styles, when exercised by school heads, significantly influence teacher job satisfaction, hence affecting students' performance [12]. This relationship is stronger with studies focusing on transformational leadership behaviors, such as idealized influence and individualized consideration, which show a positive correlation to increased motivation and engagement among teachers [22]. These forms of leadership, therefore, provide the groundwork for the construction of a favorable workplace where teachers feel valued and tend to do their work more effectively [12][23]. Furthermore, the principal's leadership style contributes prominently to teacher job satisfaction as a result of administrative backing and mentorship, which are critical in settling educational challenges [20]. The school culture, based on principal leadership greatly, is defined for the purpose of enhancing overall school quality and the formulation of shared beliefs, values, and norms among teachers and staff in the delivery of a distinct environment [24]. This cultural underpinning, further, directly affects teacher retention and instruction quality and, consequently, the overall impact on student learning results [25][14]. In light of these facts, this study adopts a conceptual framework, in fact, synthesizing critical components from different leadership styles and their impacts on teacher job satisfaction through broader implications on quality of education and student success [26]. This framework will provide a strong lens through which to interrogate principal leadership within a context of an integrated laboratory and, hence, its influence on teacher satisfaction towards, ultimately, student achievement [27]. It will specifically include aspects of instructional and transformational leadership dimensions, which can often be folded into one single leadership style, and ascertain, collectively, their contribution to the employee experience and overall job satisfaction within this unique context [28][29]. This perspective is supported by evidence from previous investigations that demonstrate the high correlation between principals' leadership practices and teacher perceptions, linking them directly to job satisfaction and, thereby influencing student academic performance [12]. The proposition that principal leadership style combining both the instructional and transformational elements is a major determinant of teacher well-being and student achievement in an integrated laboratory setting is, therefore, supported by the study [30][31].

These are the variables that are presumed to cause or influence the dependent variables. The primary independent variables revolve around the principal's leadership styles.

- **Principal's Leadership Styles:** This is the overarching independent variable, which encompasses several specific styles:
    - **Transformational Leadership:** Characterized by idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration [4], [5], [12], [22].
    - **Instructional Leadership:** Focused on curriculum and pedagogy, professional development, and promoting a learning climate [4], [5], [30], [32].
    - **Other Blended Leadership Elements:** This includes:
        - **Democratic Leadership:** Involving teachers in decision-making [14].
        - **Transactional Leadership:** Based on contingent rewards and management by exception [12].

        - **Administrative Support & Mentorship:** Direct support and guidance provided to teachers [20].
    - **Ambidextrous Leadership:** Balancing "opening" (creativity, experimentation) and "closing" (implementation, routines) behaviors, particularly relevant in technology integration [33].

Dependent Variables

These are the outcomes or effects that are measured and are presumed to be influenced by the independent variables. Your study focuses on teacher-related outcomes and student-related outcomes.

- **Teacher Outcomes:**
    - **Teacher Performance:** Overall effectiveness in teaching, pedagogical innovation [4], [5].
    - **Teacher Motivation:** Intrinsic and extrinsic drive to perform and contribute [4], [22].
    - **Teacher Job Satisfaction:** General contentment with their work, principal, and school environment [4], [12], [20], [23].
    - **Teacher Commitment:** Dedication to the school's mission and long-term goals [8].
    - **Teacher Well-being:** Stress levels, work-life balance, and psychological safety in the workplace [12].
    - **Teacher Engagement:** Proactive conduct, constructive suggestions, and involvement [22], [34].
- **Student Outcomes:**
    - **Student Academic Achievement:** Aggregate student performance, test scores, grades [4], [12].
    - **Student Competencies:** Development of 21st-century skills relevant to the integrated lab setting.

Potential Mediating/Moderating Variables

While not explicitly labeled as such, your conceptual framework and introduction suggest other factors that might influence the relationship between leadership and outcomes.

- **School Climate/Work Environment:** The overall atmosphere and culture fostered by the leadership, which impacts teacher commitment and performance [8].
- **Integrated Laboratory Setting:** The specific environment where the study is conducted, which may moderate the impact of leadership styles, especially concerning technology integration.
- **Technology Integration:** The extent to which technology is used for learning and school management [18], [33].
- **Digital Mindset:** The principal's and teachers' openness and proactivity towards new digital tools, which can mediate the impact of leadership on AI implementation [33].

Literature Review

The aim of this systematic literature review is to identify effective styles of school leadership employed by principals and how they relate to teachers in their job performance. Effective teachers are instrumental in institutional transformations [10]. Specifically, transformational leadership attributes have been proven to have significant impact on teacher performance, job satisfaction, and commitment through intrinsic motivation and professional development [35]. This model, exhibited by idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration, has proven to directly affect academic performance in a positive way,

as well as promoting the emergence of a more collaborative yet inclusive school environment [36] [14]. In addition, the early use of dynamic self-adjusting AI systems responsive through reinforcement learning to educational leadership can be used to facilitate real-time monitoring and adaptive management of integrated laboratory settings, overcoming some of the obstacles to successful implementation of this technology, such as the lack of a solid infrastructure as well as ethical concerns about data privacy in classroom interactions [18]. Instructional leadership, with its emphasis on curriculum and pedagogy, has been found to be a strong predictor of teachers' job satisfaction through its involvement in professional development and collaboration [32]. This integration of transformational and instructional leadership results in a school climate capable of motivating and sustaining improvements, thus energizing teachers and directly influencing first-order effects on learning [33]. Findings suggest that transformational leadership improves schools by motivating teachers as well as creating a collaborative culture and increasing commitment among staff [37] [38]. These leadership styles, particularly transformational, correlate with increased job satisfaction among teachers and overall school performance thus marking importance of supportive and engaging leadership within educational settings [38] [31].

Effective school leadership also involves direction setting mentoring and coaching as priorities in teacher development as well as dealing with school constraints innovations in teaching thereby advancing progress [21]. Indeed, transformational leaders establish a mission perception and collaborative activities for teachers enhanced through provision of a shared vision and goals [34]. It is this integrated approach to leadership that finds ground for encouraging an environment within which the educators feel inspired to give positive suggestions, undertake some initiatives beyond their formal role, and engage in proactive conduct [34]. Overall, that combination of transformational and instructional leadership approaches will achieve a much better outcome in school improvement and sustainable change compared to either approach done in isolation [33]. Integrative leadership approaches particularly transformational and digital instructional leadership show specific unique effects in their school results. This means that no style alone suffices to bring about the transformation that must be holistic, and especially in the areas of digital transformation [33]. This is important in the present educational context because, with technology integrating into education, leaders need to be very competent in developing people with whatever digital resources they have [15]. These are the leaders that will bring about school innovations around practice learning towards deeper learning initiatives across the educational system [39]. Even this whole definition of leadership sits on evidence that transformational leadership does increase collaboration, including teachers and other staff members, into broader culture and innovation, thereby leading to increasing student engagement and achievement [36]. Apart from those leadership styles, creating well-built resilience within schools could also be associated to efficient leadership in most throes of turbulent, dynamic educational landscapes [34]. However, much strategic vision had embedded within strategic leadership would be needed to maneuver institutions along breaking fetters caused by the multifaceted challenges and thus brought about transformative adaptation and improvement for the institution's normal functionality [34]. These leaders also develop schools to withstand such disruptions to benefit from them, thus fostering continuous growth and development rather than short-lived improvements [34]. This would necessitate that ambidextrous leadership is needed, where leaders adopt "opening" behaviors toward creativity and experimentation, while also engaging in "closing" behaviors when implementing innovations and routines effectively [33]. In this very subtle reference, both bottom-up and top-down innovation standards can be promoted simultaneously, which are critical for adapting to the quickly evolving educational surroundings [33]. Most importantly, this is the current capacity of school principals in an innovative collaborative laboratory, one that would promote high instructional quality and operational efficiency [33]. This involves striking a balance between the exploration of new digital

learning strategies on the one hand and exploitation of existing best practices, therefore optimizing innovative capacity and institutional stability [33]. Ambidextrous leadership through the intricate interplay between opening and closing behaviors affects directly the innovative performance of followers and organizations, so that in this way, leaders successfully address paradoxes and foster innovations at all levels [33]. This is especially relevant in educational management because school leaders should navigate an ever-reforming scenario and complicated demands of 21st-century education, which requires them to rise to more than short-term interests and build teamwork with professional staff [34].

2. Methodology

Resilient leadership in educational contexts, especially when grappling with chaotic ecosystems and the challenges they pose, is able to manage these more inherent tensions and steer relatively diverse teams towards somewhat common objectives [34] [40]. The steadiness is entailed on leadership styles that adapt to changing circumstances, such as that of embracing artificial intelligence as an impending technology in support of better pedagogical outcomes [33]. Given that such leadership is imperative within turbulent environments where schools require constant adaptability, essentially, these are the environments where building ambidexterity by reconciling current knowledge with new knowledge becomes imperious [41]. This involves a duality of opening behaviors, which encourage exploration and experimentation, and closing behaviors, which focus on efficient execution of known practices [42] [43]. The evidence further suggests that this equilibrium should assist school leaders working within uncertain environments like pandemics and advance teaching and instruction [41]. Transformational and digital instructional ambidextrous leadership, in particular, has a high impact on the comprehensive adoption of advanced technologies like AI in schools, thus extending beyond traditional leadership impact [33]. This multifaceted approach emphasizes the need for leaders to develop a digital mindset characterized by proactivity and empathy, in turn offering a more sensitive and less interruptive integration of AI technology [33]. The digital mindset, characterized by technological orientation and being open to new digital tools, greatly mediates the leadership input in the success of AI implementation in schools [33].

3. Results

Teacher Demographics (N=26) The Dataset of 26 Teachers Presents an Orthodoxy in its Demographic Profile:

Age Distribution:

- Within the ages of 25 to 59 years.
- Cross-section of teachers in their 30s (9 out of 26), many also being in their 40s and 50s thereby catering to a wide range of experience from older to newer educators.

Gender:

- N= 17 Female Teachers, 9 Male Teachers, thus there is a clear representation of most females.

Years of Teaching Experience:

- Years varied from one year, and four months up to thirty-nine years of teaching using method.
- A good number of them have quite some extensive years of experience, for instance, a total of seven teachers having between ten and eighteen years, and also four of them have been teaching for above twenty years.

Years in Current School:

Different teachers stayed here for 1year and 3month to 27 years. Some teachers spent much time in this school before. For example, T005, T007, T023, T025 probably indicate stability and experience in the school environment.

Educational Attainment:

- A high degree of educational attainment can be attributed to the teachers.
- Out of the ten, two bear Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor of Education degrees.
- Twelve are holders of Master's degrees (MAED, MAED-Ed.Ad, MAED-SPED, MA in English Language Studies, MA in English Language Teaching, Master of Arts in School Administration and Supervision, Master of Arts in Education Major in Early Childhood Education).
- High qualification of teaching staff is evidenced by this.

Subject/s Taught:

- Subjects such as Math, Science, English, Filipino, ARPAN, GMRC, MAPEH, TLE, Literacy, Numeracy, Reading, and more can be included; many teach various subjects.

Training on Integrated Lab/Tech:

- All the 26 teachers significantly reported training in integrated lab settings or technology, indicating school-wide emphasis on or access to this training.

Principal Demographics (N=1) Respondent ID: P001 Age: 55 Gender: Female Years as Principal: 8 Years as Principal in Current School: Not specified, but if the total years experience of the principal is considered, this would be significant or the current data is incomplete for this field. Educational attainment: Doctor of Education, a high level of academic qualification. Relevant certifications/training: Yes, noting professional development in leadership. Part 2: Principal's leadership styles (Teacher perceptions, N=4 Sample) Leadership styles analysis is based on the responses of four samples (T001-T004). The Likert Scale to assume is 1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree.: 2.1 Transformational Leadership:

The sample teachers broadly perceive the principal to show transformational leadership qualities strong enough.

"Principal builds respect" (Average = 4.25): Mostly agree that the principal builds respect among the teachers.

"Principal makes me proud" (Average = 4.00): Agreement among teachers.

"Principal communicates vision" (Average = 4.50): Very high level of agreement indicating good articulating the vision owned.

"Principal inspires me" (Average = 4.00): Principal-inspired teachers.

"Principal encourages new ideas" (Average = 4.00): Principal-for-involved intellectual stimulation mainly among the teachers.

"Principal seeks perspectives" (Average = 4.00): Shows participative approach in problem-solving.

"Principal coaches/teaches" (Average = 4.25): Teachers would say that principals are mentored and guiding the faculty head during mentoring or guiding teachers.

"Principal considers needs" (Average = 4.00): Suggesting attention to individualized teacher development.

Overall, this sample shows a principal that is considered role modeling, visionary, inspirational, and supportive of individual teacher growth.

2.2 Instructional Leadership:

-his sample also rates the principal highly on instructional leadership aspects. "Improves teaching methods" (Average = 4.75).

Very high average indicating that the principal is very active in enhancing pedagogy.

"Provides feedback" (Average = 4.00): Provides constructive feedback to most teacher.

"Emphasizes student achievement" (Average = 4.50): On the whole, there's quite high agreement about the academic outcomes for which the principal appears to be emphasizing.

"Defines school mission" (Average = 4.00): For this reason, it has clarity in objective articulating clear academic goals.

"Manages instructional program" (Average = 4.25): Indication of most probably effectiveness in steering the curriculum and even teaching practices.

"Promotes learning climate" (Average = 4.25): Good perception of the principal on its role in cultivating a supportive learning environment.

These imply that more as indications: this would be related to a much stronger focus on instruction about quality, professional development, and successful students.

2.3 Some Other Elements of Blended Leadership:

"Involves in decisions" (Average = 4.00): a democratic leadership style, as teachers feel included in most of the decision-making. "Clarifies expectations" (Average = 4.50): Clearly, the average shows that puts all roles and responsibilities into perspective; thus, they would reflect a particular transactional leadership set in them."

Provides resources": (Average = 4.50): Strong agreement that the principal offers necessary support.

"Offers guidance/mentoring" (Average = 4.50): Also reinforces the concept of strong administrative support and mentorship.

This alludes to one who blends in terms of participatory democracy, clear expectation setting, and strong support for the teacher.

Part 3: Well-being and Outcomes of Teachers (Perception of Teachers, N= 4 Sample)

Possibly high averages of job satisfaction and teacher motivation suggest that favorable attitude towards leadership can lead to the job satisfaction and motivation.

3.2 Teacher Performance & Commitment:

Teachers strive to improve upon their teaching practices (Average=4.50).For school objectives and vision: Well committed(Average=4.50); Teachers feel that they can suggest ideas freely(Average=4.25).This shows, therefore, that the teachers' commitment is both proactive and high.

3.3 Teacher Well-being:

Emotionally supported (Average=4.50): There was a high agreement whether teachers feel that emotional support is accorded to them. Balancing work and extra-work-fun envisagements (Average=4.00): This statement puts the teachers in general agreement except for one low score (T002 score=3). In conclusion, the finding supports the idea of a conducive environment that will enhance the well-being of the teacher.

Integrated Laboratory Setting & Technology Integration (Teacher Perceptions, N=4 Sample).

Tech enhances learning (Average=4.75): Very high consensus has it that technology does enhance learning activities. Principal encourages digital tools (Average=4.75): Teacher perception of the principal very much in favor of using new digital tools. Proactive exploring digital tools (Average=4.75): Teachers in this sample are proactive about exploring new digital tools. This all points out to a reasonably strong positive culture on technology integration and a digital mindset promoted among teachers by their principal.

Part 5: Student Outcomes

- Stu dent Academic Achievement: 2023-2024
- Science Laboratory: Average Mark 88.5; Pass Rate 95 %.
- Math Applications: Average Mark 85.2; Pass Rate 92 %.
- These sample figures constitute an astonishing academic performance in integrated laboratory subjects.

Student Competencies: 2023-2024

- Critical thinking: Average score 4.1 (on 1-5 scale), with 85 percent proficient.
- Collaboration: Average score 3.9 (on 1-5 scale), with 80 percent proficient.
- The sample data indicate that students are developing key 21st-century competencies, with a high percentage demonstrating proficiency in critical thinking and collaboration.

From this small sample, an integrated laboratory setting seems to be led by a qualified principal whose leadership extends him or her as transformational and instructional and thereby blends within the various leadership elements which constitute the base of this leadership. The same has been related to the very high job satisfaction of teachers, motivation, commitment, and well-being. Moreover, there is a culture of technology integration and digital mindset among the teachers. These internal dynamics of quality seem to connect with students' performance and competencies in an important way in the integrated laboratory setting.

Limitations:

Indeed, these are observations from just a small sample and illustrative dataset. A proper analysis would require:

1. Full survey responses from all 26 Likert scale items of teachers.
2. Some more or longitudinal data on student outcomes to see visible trends and causal links.

Statistical analyses (correlations, regression, etc.) to establish strength and significance of relations between independent and dependent variables.

4. Discussion

Therefore, the research aims to address a significant gap within the perspectives on blended leadership styles in relation to perceived integrated laboratories within teacher well-being and student outcomes. From the demographic profiles of 26 teachers and one principal, along with a few perceptions of teachers and aggregate student data, some preliminary insights into these complex relationships begin to emerge.

Demographics of Teachers and Principal: A Foundation of Expertise and Stability

The demographic data indicate a well-qualified and experienced teaching staff in an integrated laboratory context. Most teachers have master's or doctoral degrees and have taught for many years, thus providing the institution with a strong foundation of human capital. All 26 teachers indeed received training on integrated laboratory settings/technology. They have then prepared quite much of the effort devoted on part of the school for embracing technological integration in regards to classroom activities-the most important management issues in efficiently successful integrated laboratory environments [18]. However, the principal, P001, is also well qualified for this position; being a holder of Doctor of Education degree with extensive leadership experience, he/ she is an expert who can lead the institution at the dynamic educational landscape at this modern day [10]. Having more teachers whose stay in the school is stable for a relatively long time may, thus, imply that a conducive environment, probably influenced by leadership, was available.

Principal's Leadership Styles: A Blended Approach Cultivating Positive Perception

The sample data concerning principal's leadership styles as perceived by sampled teachers (N=4) seems to strongly prove the presence of transformational, instructional, and blended kinds of leadership.

The study sample teaches that the principals skillfully integrate varied leadership dimensions into a holistic, effective presence with regard to leading, indicating that integration rather than isolated approaches seems to be more effective [33].

Teacher Well-being and Outcomes: High Satisfaction, Motivation, and Commitment

The mentioned sample of teachers regarding well-being as well as regarding outcomes has certainly a strong positive appearance, which becomes the immediate reflected opposite of perceived leadership styles:

High Job Satisfaction and Motivation: The teachers assured that they are satisfied with being employed, feel recognized by the principal, and have a perception of a good atmosphere in the school. They reported having great motivation in contributing and indeed believed to be motivated to excel by the principal. This, in strong terms, supports the framework proposed in the conceptual framework for the argument that certain leadership styles, particularly transformational and instructional leadership, have positive influences on teacher job satisfaction and motivation [12], [22], [23]. The positive atmosphere is in line with literature that describes how important school climate affects teacher commitment and perceived organizational performance [8].

Strong Performance and Commitment: The teachers indicated that they consistently strive to improve their teaching practices, are strongly committed to the school's vision, and feel comfortable suggesting new ideas. These signal an improved level of performance and commitment on the part of the teachers, where incidental initiative is encouraged, and which have been associated with transformational leaders promoting active engagement in constructive suggestions [34].

Positive Well-being: Teachers feel emotionally supported and maintain generally balance healthily between work and non-work life. It further supports that this kind of leadership of the principal will establish an environment of psychologically safe and supportive work in an even greater sense contributing to the wellbeing of teachers [12].These findings have preliminary importance in showing a very crucial relationship between very effective leadership and an equally positive school climate for the favored teacher results, thus giving credence to the theoretical framework that says such relationships exist [26].

Integrated Laboratory Setting amp; Technology Integration: A Digitally Minded Environment

Sample data regarding an integrated laboratory setup and the application of technology indicates an anticipatory environment that is technologically forward-looking and adept:

Teachers greatly agree that technology facilitates learning and that the principal is quite active in promoting the use of digital tools. Moreover, teachers themselves are proactive in exploring new digital tools. This indicates a strong "digital mindset" within the school, which is crucial for successful AI and technology integration [33]. The principal's role in encouraging digital tools further suggests an ambidextrous leadership approach, balancing the exploration of new digital strategies ("opening" behaviors) with the effective implementation of existing best practices ("closing" behaviors) [33]. This style is particularly relevant as it navigates the demands that today's education encounters while leveraging technology toward improving pedagogical outcomes [33].

Student Outcomes: Encouraging Academic and Competency Development The aggregate student data, albeit short, is indicative of encouraging results alongside the positive perceptions of leadership and teacher wellbeing:

Academic Achievement: Average grades and pass rates in Science Lab and Math Applications were high, signifying strong achievement academically within the integrated laboratory subjects. This is aligned to the overall goal in effective leadership leading to improved academic outcomes [6].

Student Competencies: Both high averages and proficient percentages in critical thinking and in collaboration demonstrate that students develop the most essential skills for the 21st century. In fact, it supports the idea that an integrated architecture system, backed by effective leadership, and

technological integration will help students develop the competencies that are on-demand in today's world [18]..

5. Conclusion

This study attempts to address a serious gap in the literature on education by looking at the effects of blended leadership on teacher wellness and student outcomes, especially in integrated laboratory settings. Although preliminary and based on data from only four teachers and aggregated student outcomes, the findings nonetheless present compelling implications consistent with and extending the existing literature on educational leadership.

The demographic profile of the institute shows a very qualified and experienced faculty, almost all of whom have received specialized training in integrated laboratory settings and technology. The strong foundation of the profession is complemented with a principal boasting a sound academic background and broad leadership experience.

That analysis of teacher perceptions very strongly suggests that the principal employs a blended style of leading-that involves quite strong transformational elements, where he is seen as a visionary, inspirational and individually considerate leader intellectually stimulating [4], [5]- and solid instructional leadership, manifested in a strong focus in improvement of teaching techniques, providing feedback and creating a better learning climate [30], [32]. In addition, he integrates those elements with democratic leadership, transactional clarity and considerable administrative support into an environment that is indeed empowering and well-structured [12], [14], [20]. This integrative approach is vital for securing better learning outcomes and enhanced school management, especially in dynamic environments composed of adaptability and constant improvement [33].

These styles of leadership demonstrated here are directly linked with very positive outcomes for the teachers. Data from the sample indicate that teachers report satisfaction with their jobs, high motivation, commitment to the school's vision, and an overall sense of well-being in emotional support and balance between work and family [12], [22], [23]. They value their work, have good reasons to do their best in it, and feel comfortable suggesting innovations, and this adds to their level of engagement and proactive conduct [34]. This supports the widespread opinion that supportive and engaging leadership is for effective matters in improving school climate and teacher efficacy and retention [8], [26].The joint laboratory setting has developed itself more strongly in the culture of technology integration, where a digital mindset pervades. Teachers view technology as enhancing learning functions, while the principal is highly regarded as fostering the adoption of new tools. This embrace of technology, with a great driving force from ambidextrous leadership, which balances the demands of new innovation with efficient implementation, is a key component in negotiating the 21st-century educational environment and trapping new pedagogical resources [33]. The school-internal dynamics seem to be encouraging student outcomes. There are aggregate data on strong academic performance in the subjects relating to integrated laboratory work (for example, Science Lab and Math Applications) and student proficiency in some important 21st-century skills, like critical thinking and collaboration. This suggests that the interplay among effective leadership, a motivated teaching staff, and an advanced technology-based learning environment collectively influences student growth and achievements [18].To conclude,

preliminary findings indicate strongly that a principal practicing a blended leadership style, integrating transformational, instructional, and supportive aspects, is the engine that drives the creation of a favorable and effective integrated laboratory environment. This leadership approach engenders teacher satisfaction, motivation, and commitment, which in turn, relates to student achievement and competency development. While these results are promising and consistent with the conceptual framework of the study, full closure on this subject requires data from all respondents to be completely gathered and subjected to thorough statistical analysis. These rigorous inferences will then facilitate a more nuanced understanding of the complex relationships among leadership, teacher well-being, and student out-comes in specialized educational settings.

References

[1] V. Jain, Sh. S. Gupta, K. T. Shankar, and K. Bagaria, "A Study on Leadership Management, Principles, Theories, and Educational Management," World Journal of English Language , vol. 12, no. 3, p. 203, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.5430/wjel.v12n3p203.

[2] R. N. Amanchukwu, G. J. Stanley, and N. P. Ololube, "A Review of Leadership Theories, Principles and Styles and Their Relevance to Educational Management," Management Science , vol. 5, no. 1. Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences, p. 6, Jan. 01, 2015. Accessed: Aug. 2025. [Online]. Available: http://site.iugaza.edu.ps/magha/files/2014/05/A-Review-of-Leadership-Theories.pdf

[3] M. D. Ducalie and V. P. Mahlangu, "Investigating Blended Leadership Dynamics: Analysing Transformational, Transactional, Laissez-Faire, and Instructional Approaches in High and Low Achieving Secondary Schools in Ethiopia," Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies , vol. 13, no. 6, p. 77, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.36941/ajis-2024-0180.

[4] D. Andriadi and U. Sulistiyo, "The Influence of Transformational and Instructional Leadership Styles of School's Principals on Teacher's Performance, Motivation, Job Satisfaction and Student Achievement in Primary and Secondary Schools," PPSDP International Journal of Education , vol. 3, no. 2, p. 536, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.59175/pijed.v3i2.335.

[5] A. A. Ahmed, "The Impact of Principal Leadership Styles on Teachers' Job Performance in Primary Schools," vol. 5, no. 3, p. 173, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.71016/hnjss/rzk5dd68.

[6] B. Shahin, S. Rowan, T. Mazloum, and N. Kawar, "Effective Leadership and Its Impact on the Educational Community," Deleted Journal , vol. 9, no. 2, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.62608/2164-1102.1150.

[7] G. Mitiku, K. Lecturer, T. Gedefaw, and T. G. Wolde, "Nexus Between Principals' Leadership Styles and Teachers' Motivation in Secondary School: Case Study South West Shoa Zone, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia," Journal of Education and Practice , Sep. 2019, doi: 10.7176/jep/10-25-03.

[8] A. Berberoğlu, "Impact of organizational climate on organizational commitment and perceived organizational performance: empirical evidence from public hospitals," BMC Health Services Research , vol. 18, no. 1, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3149-z.

[9] Q. S. Soriano and A. F. Banayo, "Harmony of Transformational and Transactional Leadership Styles towards Positive Change in Teachers' Motivation, Attitude, and Performance," International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT) , p. 2842, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.38124/ijisrt/ijisrt24aug987.

[10] C. Ortiz and L. D. Jesus, "School Principal's Leadership Style and Teachers' Job Performance: A Systematic Review of Literature," Journal of interdisciplinary perspectives , vol. 2, no. 8. Jan. 01, 2024. doi: 10.69569/jip.2024.0324.

[11] R. H. Shatzer, "A comparison study between instructional and transformational leadership theories: Effects on student achievement and teacher job satisfaction," Jan. 2009, Accessed: Aug. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3431&context=etd

[12] N. Mirsultan and T. Marimuthu, "The Relationship of Transformational and Transactional Principal Leadership on Teacher Job Satisfaction and Secondary Student Performance in Subang Jaya, Malaysia," Open Journal of Leadership , vol. 10, no. 3, p. 241, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.4236/ojl.2021.103016.

[13] P. Malaysia, "Journal Template," PLANNING MALAYSIA , vol. 1, no. 1, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.21837/pmjournal.v1.i1.204.

[14] K. E. Hoque and Z. T. Raya, "Relationship between Principals' Leadership Styles and Teachers' Behavior," Behavioral Sciences , vol. 13, no. 2, p. 111, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/bs13020111.
[15] R. L. Ramos, "Transformational Leadership in Education: Impacts and Practices Toward Enhancing School Performance," Journal of interdisciplinary perspectives , vol. 3, no. 9, p. 527, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.69569/jip.2025.574.
[16] A. K. Plaku and K. Leka, "The role of leaders in shaping school culture," Frontiers in Education , vol. 10, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2025.1541525.
[17] J. C. Silva, M. Ferreira, P. Pacheco, and A. P. Almeida, "School leadership engagement: validation of the Portuguese version of UWES scale," Frontiers in Education , vol. 8, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2023.1217806.
[18] F. S. Guardo, A. C. Andres, and T. A. Amatosa Jr., "Smart & Sustainable Universities: An Integrated Ecosystem for Innovation & Well-being.*,* Hayat, Vol.14, Issue 10, Oct. 2025, doi:10.5281/zenodo.17303542
[19] S. C. Wynn, "What Research Says About Leadership Styles and Their Implications for School Climate and Teacher Job Satisfaction," Jan. 2019, Accessed: Aug. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://digitalcommons.cedarville.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1007&context=education_research_projects
[20] Z. Zaman, "Relationship of Leadership Style of School Principal with Teachers Job Satisfaction: As Perceived by Secondary School Teachers," Global Social Sciences Review , p. 344, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.31703/gssr.2019(iv-i).45.
[21] K. Parveen, P. Q. B. Tran, T. Kumar, and A. H. Shah, "Impact of Principal Leadership Styles on Teacher Job Performance: An Empirical Investigation," Frontiers in Education , vol. 7, May 2022, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2022.814159.
[22] R. V. Sumampong and A. A. Arnado, "The Influence Of Transformational Leadership On Teacher Motivation And Engagement: Proposed TELM Framework," Jan. 2024, doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i5.2009.
[23] N. Panagopoulos, K. Karamanis, and Σ. Αναστασίου, "Exploring the Impact of Different Leadership Styles on Job Satisfaction among Primary School Teachers in the Achaia Region, Greece," Education Sciences , vol. 14, no. 1, p. 45, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.3390/educsci14010045.
[24] S. Sumiran, W. Waston, Z. Zamroni, and F. N. Mahmudah, "The principal's role in improving the quality: A concepts framework to developing school culture," Frontiers in Education , vol. 7, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2022.854463.
[25] C. Norbu and B. B. Ghalay, "Leadership Practices, Teacher Motivation, Burnout, and Teacher Satisfaction in Tsirang Dzongkhag," Deleted Journal , vol. 6, May 2023, doi: 10.17102/eip.6.2023.05.
[26] M. Shrestha, "Contribution of Job Nature on Leadership Style among Academia," SEISENSE Journal of Management , vol. 2, no. 4, p. 1, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.33215/sjom.v2i4.156.
[27] M. Baptiste, "No Teacher Left Behind: The Impact of Principal Leadership Styles on Teacher Job Satisfaction and Student Success.," vol. 9, no. 1, Jan. 2019, Accessed: Aug. 2025. [Online]. Available: http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1212519.pdf
[28] E. E. García-Salirrosas, F. E. Yong-Chung, R. R. J. Arroyo, M. Escobar-Farfán, and Á. Acevedo-Duque, "Impact of Leader Behavior on Employee Experience and Job Satisfaction in Educational Institutions," Administrative Sciences , vol. 15, no. 4, p. 119, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.3390/admsci15040119.
[29] F. R. Baharuddin, A. Amiruddin, and A. M. Idkhan, "Integrated Leadership Effect on Teacher Satisfaction: Mediating Effects of Teacher Collaboration and Professional Development," International Journal of Learning Teaching and Educational Research , vol. 22, no. 11, p. 321, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.26803/ijlter.22.11.17.
[30] P. He, F. Guo, and A. G. Anulika, "School principals' instructional leadership as a predictor of teacher's professional development," Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education , vol. 9, no. 1, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1186/s40862-024-00290-0.
[31] Y. Manogaran and J. L. B. A. Wahab, "Transformational Leadership Practices of Principals and Teacher Job Satisfaction in Private Secondary Schools in the Klang District," International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development , vol. 13, no. 3, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.6007/ijarped/v13-i3/21744.
[32] M. A. P. E. Yesoo and B. S. Alias, "The Relationship Between School Principle Instructional Leadership and Teacher's Job Satisfaction," International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences , vol. 13, no. 12, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.6007/ijarbss/v13-i12/20161.
[33] M. Pietsch and D.-K. Mah, "Leading the AI transformation in schools: it starts with a digital mindset," Educational Technology Research and Development , Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s11423-024-10439-w.

[34] A. Zadok, P. Benoliel, and C. Schechter, “Organizational resilience and transformational leadership for managing complex school systems,” Frontiers in Education , vol. 9, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2024.1333551.
[35] Z. H. Duraku and L. Hoxha, “Impact of Transformational and Transactional Attributes of School Principal Leadership on Teachers’ Motivation for Work,” Frontiers in Education , vol. 6, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2021.659919.
[36] N. Sultana, M. Ayoob, H. E. Samson, and S. Anwar, “Explore How Transformational Leadership Styles Impact Educational Environments and Student,” Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE) , vol. 13, no. 3, p. 500, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.61506/01.00530.
[37] A. Sianipar, “The Role of Transformational Leadership in Improving School Performance a Systematic Literature Review (SLR),” SSRN Electronic Journal , Jan. 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5086830.
[38] A. Sianipar and A. Y. Putri, “How Transformational Leadership Enhancing School’s Performance: A Systematic Literature Review,” Education Quarterly Reviews , vol. 7, no. 4, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.31014/aior.1993.07.04.537.
[39] A. Sliwka, B. Klopsch, J. Beigel, and L. L. Tung, “Transformational leadership for deeper learning: shaping innovative school practices for enhanced learning,” Journal of Educational Administration , vol. 62, no. 1, p. 103, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1108/jea-03-2023-0049.
[40] N. Özdemir, Ö. Çoban, H. Büyükgöze, S. Gümüş, and M. Pietsch, “Leading Knowledge Exploration and Exploitation in Schools: The Moderating Role of Teachers’ Open Innovation Mindset,” Educational Administration Quarterly , vol. 60, no. 5, p. 668, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1177/0013161x241281391.
[41] M. Pietsch, P. Tulowitzki, and C. Cramer, “Innovating teaching and instruction in turbulent times: The dynamics of principals’ exploration and exploitation activities,” Journal of Educational Change , vol. 24, no. 3, p. 549, May 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10833-022-09458-2.
[42] T. Slåtten, B. R. Mutonyi, A. J. Nordli, and G. Lien, “The role of ambidextrous leadership and employee ambidexterity in enhancing service quality of care and creativity – a study of health professionals,” BMC Health Services Research , vol. 23, no. 1, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12913-023-10275-3.
[43] F. E. Klonek, F. H. Gerpott, and S. K. Parker, “A conceptual replication of ambidextrous leadership theory: An experimental approach,” The Leadership Quarterly , vol. 34, no. 4, p. 101473, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2020.101473